

EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTER SIMULATION STUDY OF RADIONUCLIDE PRODUCTION IN HEAVY MATERIALS IRRADIATED BY INTERMEDIATE ENERGY PROTONS

Yu. E. Titarenko, O. V. Shvedov, V. F. Batyaev, E. I. Karpikhin, V. M. Zhivun,
R. D. Mulambetov, A. N. Sosnin

*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B. Cheremushkinskaya 25,
117259 Moscow, Russia, e-mail: Yury.Titarenko@vitep5.itep.ru*

S. G. Mashnik, R. E. Prael
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

T. A. Gabriel
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

M. Blann
7210E Calabria ct, San Diego, CA 92122, USA

ABSTRACT

The results of measurements and computer simulations are presented for the yields of residual product nuclei in thin targets: ^{nat}U irradiated by 0.1, 0.8, 1.2, and 1.6 GeV and ^{99}Tc irradiated by 0.2, 0.8, 1.0, 1.4, and 1.6 GeV protons. The yields were measured by direct high-precision γ -spectrometry. The irradiations were made using the beams extracted from the ITEP U-10 synchrotron. The majority of these yields are measured for the first time at such energies.

We think that these new data on high energy fission yields measured together with spallation and fragmentation products are of importance both for development of new reliable models of intermediate energy nuclear reactions and to benchmark available codes used today in applications.

About 820 cross sections are presented and used in comparison between measured yields and simulations by the LAHET, INUCL, CEM95, HETC, CASCADE, YIELDX, and ALICE codes.

I. INTRODUCTION

In designing ADT-based systems the neutron production of the Pb-Bi or Hg targets in the systems are of great importance and involve not only spallation, but also fission reactions. The absence of any reliable theory for fission induced by 100-2000 MeV protons necessitates experimenting with a broad range of nuclei, in our case with ^{nat}U . The data thus obtained may be used to construct semi-empirical systematics, to develop models of nuclear reactions, and to verify available simulation codes. At the same time, the data are interesting by themselves because some present-day projects (see, for instance, Ref. ¹) include actinides as part of the

target materials.

The ^{99}Tc isotope ($T_{1/2} = 2.1 \cdot 10^5$ years) is a fission product whose yield ($\sim 6\%$) belongs to the extremely-high yield range and, therefore, has to be transmuted into stable or short-lived isotopes. One of the feasible techniques for transmuting ^{99}Tc is to add this isotope to the target materials of subcritical facilities whose neutron sources are neutron cascades generated in 0.8-1.6 GeV proton beam interactions with the targets.

Since (p,x) reaction data have yet to be obtained for ^{99}Tc (see Ref. ²), the feasibility of the given ^{99}Tc transmutation technique must be determined by experimenting with, and simulating, the ^{99}Tc interactions with protons of energies from 50–100 MeV to ~ 3 GeV. Also, some of the ^{99}Tc reaction product yields are of interest in medical applications.

The present work was aimed at:

- finding the independent and cumulative yields of residual product nuclei in thin ^{nat}U targets irradiated with 0.1, 0.8, 1.2, and 1.6 GeV protons, and in ^{99}Tc targets irradiated with 0.2, 0.8, 1.0, 1.4, and 1.6 GeV protons;
- comparing experimental data with results of simulations by the most extensively used codes that simulate the neutron-physics parameters of the subcritical systems mentioned above.

Some of our results can be found in Refs. ^{3,4}.

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES AND MEASURED YIELDS

The complete cycle of experiments have been made at

ITEP for two years using the U-10 synchrotron with two proton beams extracted independently, namely, a

Table 1: Irradiation parameters, sample dimensions and $^{27}\text{Al}(\text{p},\text{x})^{22}\text{Na}$ reaction cross sections.

Planned proton energy (MeV)	Actual proton energy (MeV)	$^{27}\text{Al}(\text{p},\text{x})^{22}\text{Na}$ reaction cross section (mb)	Sample/monitor thickness (mg/cm ²)	Total proton flux across a sample for irradiation time (p/cm ²)
^{99}Tc irradiations				
200	196.3 ± 1.1	16.2 ± 1.2	56/196	$1.62 \cdot 10^{13}$
800	795 ± 1	15.4 ± 1.0	67/142	$4.04 \cdot 10^{13}$
1000	989 ± 1	14.9 ± 1.0	55/195	$1.95 \cdot 10^{13}$
1400	1379 ± 1	13.8 ± 1.0	56/58	$5.92 \cdot 10^{13}$
1600	1583 ± 3	13.3 ± 1.0	51/58	$2.08 \cdot 10^{13}$
^{nat}U irradiations				
100	96.5 ± 0.6	19.5 ± 2.0	55/183.5	$7.35 \cdot 10^{12}$
800	795 ± 1	15.4 ± 1.0	55/185.8	$5.47 \cdot 10^{13}$
1200	1186 ± 1	14.3 ± 1.0	55/215	$6.11 \cdot 10^{13}$
1600	1583 ± 3	13.3 ± 1.0	51/58	$4.37 \cdot 10^{13}$

high-energy beam with 800–2600 MeV extracted protons and a low-energy beam with 100–200 MeV extracted protons.

The background γ -lines, which are present in measured γ -spectra due to natural radioactive background of the workshop, to ^{nat}U fission products, and to possible radioactive impurities in samples, were allowed for by analyzing γ -spectra of the intact samples measured under the same measurement conditions as those for the irradiated samples.

The $\varnothing 10.5$ mm metallic Tc foils were irradiated. The $^{27}\text{Al}(\text{p},\text{x})^{22}\text{Na}$ monitor reaction was used in the present work. Table 1 presents the irradiation parameters, sample dimensions, and the $^{27}\text{Al}(\text{p},\text{x})^{22}\text{Na}$ reaction cross sections.

The techniques and results of measuring γ -ray spectra, processing γ -ray spectra, determining external proton beam energies, measuring geometry parameters of external proton beam shapes, determining neutron background, as well as the method for determination of radioactive nuclide yields are described in detail in Ref. ⁵.

Tables 2 and 3 present the products measured in ^{99}Tc and ^{nat}U .

III. COMPUTER SIMULATION OF MEASURED PRODUCTS

The products of the studied reactions were simulated in the present work by eight different codes, namely:

1. the CEM95 Cascade-Exciton Model code⁶,
2. the CASCADE cascade – evaporation – fission transport code⁷,
3. the INUCL cascade – preequilibrium – evaporation – fission code⁸,
4. the HETC cascade – evaporation transport code⁹,

5. the LAHET cascade – evaporation – fission transport code¹⁰,
6. the ALICE code with HMS precompound approach¹¹,
7. the semi-phenomenological YIELDX code¹²,
8. the semi-phenomenological formulae of Foshina et al.¹³

A detailed description of the models used by the CEM95, CASCADE, INUCL, HETC, LAHET, ALICE, and YIELDX codes may be found in our previous work⁵, in Refs.^{6–12} and references therein.

The comparisons of measured data with the calculations are made using two parameters. The first parameter is numbers of “coincidences”. A coincidence is defined to be a comparison event with the ratio of simulated to experimental yields not exceeding a factor of 2 ($N_{C_{2.0}} : 0.5 < \sigma_{cal,i}/\sigma_{exp,i} < 2.0$), and a factor of 1.3 ($N_{C_{1.3}} : 0.769 < \sigma_{cal,i}/\sigma_{exp,i} < 1.3$). We present here the ratios of coincidence numbers ($N_{C_{1.3}}, N_{C_{2.0}}$) to the number of all comparisons (N_S). The number of the coincidences within a factor of 1.3 ($N_{C_{1.3}}$) is considered here to be the number of simulations within an ultimate accuracy of 30% needed in applications².

Another parameter for comparison of simulated and experimental data was proposed by R. Michel¹⁴ and used afterwards in our works.^{5,15} The parameter is a mean squared deviation factor

$$\langle H \rangle = 10 \sqrt{\left\langle \left(\lg \left(\frac{\sigma_{cal,i}}{\sigma_{exp,i}} \right) \right)^2 \right\rangle}, \quad (1)$$

with its standard deviation $S(\langle H \rangle)$

$$S(\langle H \rangle) = 10^{\sqrt{a}}, \quad (2)$$

Table 2: Experimental yields measured in ^{99}Tc .

Product	Half life	Mode	Proton enegy (GeV)				
			0.2	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.6
^{97}Ru	2.9d	ind	13.1 ± 1.4	2.57 ± 0.21	3.34 ± 0.42	2.22 ± 0.19	1.57 ± 0.17
^{95}Ru	1.643h	ind	6.08 ± 0.61	4.91 ± 1.10	8.20 ± 1.16	4.77 ± 0.87	4.64 ± 1.28
^{94}Ru	51.8m	ind	2.64 ± 0.90	0.52 ± 0.21	0.66 ± 0.29	0.26 ± 0.18	0.61 ± 0.29
^{99}Tc	6.01h	cum	5.87 ± 0.61	5.60 ± 0.47	6.41 ± 0.53	6.13 ± 0.55	7.37 ± 0.78
^{96}Tc	4.28d	ind	51.0 ± 4.9	22.6 ± 1.7	21.1 ± 1.7	23.4 ± 1.9	19.5 ± 1.8
^{95}Tc	20.0h	cum	51.4 ± 5.3	19.1 ± 1.4	17.2 ± 1.3	15.5 ± 1.3	
^{95}Tc	20.0h	ind	44.3 ± 4.7	14.2 ± 1.5	9.02 ± 1.21	10.7 ± 1.2	
^{94}Tc	52.0m	cum	11.5 ± 1.2	3.25 ± 0.27	2.57 ± 0.26	2.68 ± 0.26	2.22 ± 0.26
^{94}Tc	52.0m	ind	7.70 ± 0.87	2.74 ± 0.34	1.91 ± 0.35	2.42 ± 0.30	1.61 ± 0.31
^{94}Tc	293m	cum	28.0 ± 2.7	9.67 ± 0.70	8.57 ± 0.61	7.67 ± 0.65	7.21 ± 0.69
^{94}Tc	293m	ind	35.7 ± 2.9	12.4 ± 0.8	10.8 ± 0.7	10.1 ± 0.7	8.82 ± 0.75
^{93}Tc	43.5m	ind	1.36 ± 0.43	2.08 ± 0.86	0.89 ± 0.49	1.58 ± 0.50	2.38 ± 0.62
^{93}Tc	2.75h	cum	21.3 ± 2.2	5.68 ± 0.61	4.37 ± 0.35	4.60 ± 0.40	4.35 ± 0.43
^{93}Tc	2.75h	ind	18.5 ± 2.1	3.92 ± 1.08	3.48 ± 0.60	3.02 ± 0.61	1.99 ± 0.69
^{93}Mo	6.85h	ind	10.8 ± 1.1	5.48 ± 0.38	3.93 ± 0.64	4.38 ± 0.39	3.69 ± 0.47
^{90}Mo	5.67h	cum	7.23 ± 1.08	3.90 ± 0.30	3.16 ± 0.53	2.70 ± 0.27	2.51 ± 0.41
^{96}Nb	23.35h	ind	1.18 ± 0.18	2.03 ± 0.15	2.70 ± 0.37	2.39 ± 0.21	2.33 ± 0.29
^{95}Nb	34.975d	cum		4.47 ± 0.35		4.29 ± 0.38	
^{92}Nb	10.15d	ind	4.90 ± 0.68	3.00 ± 0.28		4.17 ± 0.35	3.49 ± 0.34
^{90}Nb	14.60h	cum	41.5 ± 4.0	29.6 ± 2.1	24.7 ± 1.9	21.9 ± 2.1	19.5 ± 1.8
^{90}Nb	14.60h	m+g	32.2 ± 3.2	25.5 ± 2.0	20.0 ± 2.2	19.6 ± 1.8	
^{89}Nb	1.18h	cum	1.75 ± 0.21	1.28 ± 0.16		1.24 ± 0.12	
^{89}Nb	1.9h	cum				31.2 ± 3.5	
^{88}Nb	7.8m	ind	7.11 ± 0.79	9.25 ± 5.38		6.08 ± 1.55	2.23 ± 2.47
^{88}Nb	14.5m	cum	3.51 ± 0.44	3.48 ± 0.47	3.44 ± 0.39	2.41 ± 0.52	2.15 ± 0.38
^{89}Zr	78.41h	cum	42.8 ± 4.2	35.9 ± 2.6	32.5 ± 2.4	31.5 ± 2.6	26.8 ± 2.5
^{88}Zr	83.4d	cum	24.0 ± 2.9	28.4 ± 2.1	23.9 ± 3.2	23.5 ± 2.0	21.8 ± 2.0
^{87}Zr	1.68h	cum	19.8 ± 2.1	26.7 ± 2.6	22.9 ± 2.0	18.7 ± 1.7	20.6 ± 2.3
^{86}Zr	16.5h	cum	4.93 ± 0.49	9.47 ± 0.75	7.85 ± 0.63	7.09 ± 0.64	5.12 ± 0.56
^{90}Y	3.19h	ind		0.95 ± 0.17	1.33 ± 0.17	0.92 ± 0.17	1.02 ± 0.09
^{88}Y	106.65d	cum		11.6 ± 0.8		7.82 ± 0.75	
^{87}Y	13.37h	cum	25.1 ± 2.4	25.3 ± 2.7	36.5 ± 2.6	30.8 ± 2.5	28.0 ± 3.0
^{87}Y	13.37h	ind	5.38 ± 0.92	11.51 ± 1.29	13.59 ± 1.47	12.10 ± 1.21	8.99 ± 1.39
^{87}Y	79.8h	cum	24.8 ± 2.6	39.5 ± 2.8	42.3 ± 3.0	36.4 ± 4.0	28.4 ± 2.5
^{87}Y	79.8h	ind	5.93 ± 0.66	14.23 ± 2.38		3.23 ± 2.18	3.41 ± 0.46
^{86}Y	48m	ind	7.76 ± 0.80	15.6 ± 1.2	13.1 ± 1.0	12.1 ± 1.1	10.4 ± 1.0
^{86}Y	14.74h	cum	14.0 ± 1.4	32.4 ± 2.4	26.8 ± 2.0	25.4 ± 2.1	21.9 ± 2.0
^{86}Y	14.74h	ind	9.30 ± 1.00	22.9 ± 1.7	19.5 ± 1.4	18.5 ± 1.6	17.2 ± 1.6
^{85}Y	4.86h	cum			4.35 ± 2.06	8.21 ± 1.23	8.82 ± 2.54
^{85}Y	2.68h	cum	2.43 ± 0.41	10.0 ± 1.4	5.70 ± 0.56	4.00 ± 0.60	3.34 ± 0.59
^{85}Y	2.68h	ind		4.46 ± 0.97			
^{84}Y	40m	cum	2.27 ± 0.24	8.13 ± 1.04	8.87 ± 0.75	8.07 ± 0.78	7.21 ± 0.65
^{85}Sr	67.63m	cum	2.53 ± 0.41	6.72 ± 0.58	6.99 ± 0.75	5.30 ± 0.50	4.54 ± 0.66
^{85}Sr	67.63m	ind		1.22 ± 0.18	0.94 ± 0.19	0.86 ± 0.13	0.66 ± 0.16
^{85}Sr	64.84d	cum	12.4 ± 2.6	41.0 ± 3.5	29.2 ± 4.4		30.1 ± 3.2
^{83}Sr	32.41h	cum		21.6 ± 2.4	20.1 ± 9.4	19.6 ± 1.6	12.9 ± 2.1
^{81}Sr	22.3m	cum		4.34 ± 0.32	4.67 ± 0.46	3.38 ± 0.50	

Continuation of Table 2.

Product	Half life	Mode	Proton enegy (GeV)				
			0.2	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.6
⁸⁴ Rb	20.26m	ind		3.10 ±1.35	2.88 ±0.54	3.04 ±0.65	2.99±0.93
⁸⁴ Rb	32.77d	m+g		3.48 ±0.28			
⁸³ Rb	86.2d	cum		31.2 ±2.5	28.4 ±6.1	27.6 ±2.5	23.6±3.3
⁸² Rb	6.472h	cum		12.1 ±0.9	11.5 ±0.9	11.0 ±0.9	10.3±1.0
⁸¹ Rb	30.5m	cum	1.57±0.28		20.3 ±2.3	15.0 ±2.2	19.6±2.7
⁸¹ Rb	4.576h	cum		26.5 ±2.6	23.0 ±2.5	21.9 ±2.4	20.5±2.4
⁸¹ Rb	4.576h	ind			4.30 ±1.72	8.73 ±2.03	2.29±1.95
⁷⁹ Rb	22.9m	cum		4.84 ±1.34	6.06 ±0.68	5.78 ±0.58	4.91±0.80
⁸⁵ Kr	4.480h	cum		1.08 ±0.12			
⁷⁹ Kr	35.04h	cum		14.9 ±1.8	18.0 ±3.1	15.8 ±1.9	14.2±1.7
⁷⁷ Kr	74.4m	cum		7.12 ±0.55	7.74 ±0.64	8.08 ±0.65	7.38±0.67
⁷⁶ Kr	14.8h	cum		1.88 ±0.20	2.32 ±0.37	2.04 ±0.40	1.65±0.23
⁷⁷ Br	57.036h	cum		15.4 ±1.6	17.8 ±2.5	19.1 ±1.6	14.9±1.4
⁷⁶ Br	16.2h	m+g		11.0 ±1.1	13.6 ±1.1	14.1 ±1.4	13.1±1.2
⁷⁶ Br	16.2h	ind		9.52 ±1.27	11.3 ±1.0	12.2 ±1.1	11.5±1.2
⁷⁵ Br	96.7m	cum		8.18 ±0.62	9.46 ±0.72	10.26±0.87	9.47±0.89
⁷⁴ Br	46m	ind		1.60 ±0.18	0.78±0.29	1.77 ±0.29	1.59±0.42
⁷⁴ Br	25.4m	cum			1.83 ±0.50	18.0 ±1.6	2.38±0.94
⁷⁵ Se	119.770d	cum		13.5 ±1.2			
⁷³ Se	39.8m	cum		3.22 ±0.97	5.01 ±0.95	5.11 ±0.84	6.38±1.06
⁷³ Se	7.15h	cum		5.44 ±0.41	7.19 ±0.54	8.11 ±0.68	8.07±0.75
⁷³ Se	7.15h	ind		3.09 ±1.06	3.65 ±1.01	3.00 ±0.79	1.69±0.91
⁷² Se	8.40d	cum		1.28 ±0.66	3.26 ±0.77	3.63 ±0.32	
⁷⁴ As	17.77d	ind		2.56 ±0.27		3.48 ±0.39	3.42±0.42
⁷² As	26.0h	cum		7.90 ±0.65	11.7±1.1	16.35±1.38	11.9±1.15
⁷² As	26.0h	ind		6.61 ±0.90	8.51 ±0.70	12.7±1.1	
⁷¹ As	65.28h	cum		6.07 ±0.48	6.99 ±0.60	11.3±1.0	8.90±0.98
⁷⁰ As	52.6m	cum			5.41 ±1.53	2.25 ±0.32	2.97±0.55
⁶⁹ Ge	39.05h	cum		3.46 ±0.49		7.19 ±0.75	5.95±0.74
⁶⁷ Ge	18.9m	cum			0.88±0.12	1.23 ±0.16	1.17±0.17
⁶⁷ Ga	3.2612d	cum		4.13 ±0.70		9.06 ±1.31	8.72±1.07
⁶⁶ Ga	9.49h	cum		1.59 ±0.36		4.72 ±0.45	4.87±0.74
⁶⁵ Ga	15.2m	cum				1.09 ±0.29	
⁵⁴ Mn	312.12d	ind				3.71 ±0.47	
⁵² Mn	5.591d	ind		0.39±0.17		0.75±0.07	
⁴⁸ Cr	21.56h	ind		1.52 ±0.13			
⁴⁸ V	15.9735d	cum				0.87±0.10	
⁴⁷ Ca	4.536d	cum		2.84 ±0.70		2.96 ±0.49	

Table 3: Experimental yields measured in ^{nat}U .

Product	Half life	Mode	Proton enegy (GeV)			
			0.1	0.8	1.2	1.6
^{238}Np	50.808h	cum	2.46 ± 0.33			
^{237}U	6.75d	cum	115 ± 12	114 ± 9	111 ± 10	119 ± 12
^{233}Pa	26.967d	ind		14.3 ± 1.2	13.5 ± 1.1	12.3 ± 1.1
^{232}Pa	31.44h	cum	3.27 ± 0.38	7.13 ± 1.16	6.46 ± 0.60	5.77 ± 0.58
^{230}Pa	17.4d	ind		2.38 ± 0.49	2.13 ± 0.38	1.30 ± 0.30
^{225}Ac	10d	cum		2.31 ± 0.23	2.30 ± 0.32	1.68 ± 0.21
^{224}Ra	87.833h	cum		2.40 ± 0.29		
^{211}Rn	14.6h	cum		2.46 ± 0.25	2.09 ± 0.19	1.83 ± 0.37
^{210}At	8.1h	cum		3.61 ± 0.52	3.14 ± 0.40	2.60 ± 0.33
^{209}At	5.41h	cum		7.78 ± 0.85	7.54 ± 0.95	6.18 ± 0.92
^{208}At	1.63h	cum		2.72 ± 0.27	3.17 ± 0.73	3.84 ± 0.63
^{206}At	30m	cum		2.53 ± 0.33	3.75 ± 0.42	
^{207}Po	5.8h	cum		7.60 ± 0.67	6.70 ± 0.67	5.03 ± 0.76
^{206}Po	8.8d	cum		8.85 ± 0.71	9.06 ± 0.79	7.30 ± 0.70
^{204}Po	3.53h	cum		4.68 ± 0.57	5.77 ± 0.63	4.39 ± 0.57
^{206}Bi	6.243d	cum		8.48 ± 0.68		
^{205}Bi	15.31d	cum		6.17 ± 0.72	7.10 ± 0.70	5.87 ± 0.85
^{204}Bi	11.22h	cum		9.26 ± 0.86	10.3 ± 1.3	8.06 ± 1.09
^{204}Bi	11.22h	ind		4.59 ± 0.75	4.51 ± 1.16	3.67 ± 1.03
^{203}Bi	11.76h	cum			3.96 ± 0.53	3.82 ± 0.75
^{202}Bi	1.72h	cum		5.96 ± 0.55	10.1 ± 1.2	8.45 ± 1.55
^{203}Pb	51.873h	cum		6.19 ± 0.53	7.92 ± 0.66	6.96 ± 0.61
^{203}Pb	51.873h	ind			3.96 ± 0.59	3.14 ± 0.76
^{201}Pb	9.33h	cum		4.23 ± 0.45	6.68 ± 0.69	6.08 ± 0.67
^{200}Pb	21.5h	cum		3.39 ± 0.30	4.56 ± 0.76	5.03 ± 0.61
^{199}Pb	90m	cum		5.24 ± 1.01		
^{200}Tl	26.1h	cum		4.02 ± 0.34	6.04 ± 0.52	5.41 ± 0.49
^{200}Tl	26.1h	ind		0.63 ± 0.19	1.51 ± 0.49	
^{194}Tl	32.8m	cum			5.37 ± 0.75	3.39 ± 0.61
^{193}Hg	11.8h	ind			1.77 ± 0.38	1.25 ± 0.38
^{192}Hg	4.85h	cum			4.84 ± 0.53	5.85 ± 0.66
^{191}Pt	69.6h	cum		3.55 ± 0.79	4.24 ± 0.88	6.10 ± 1.13
^{188}Pt	10.2d	cum			4.83 ± 0.69	8.04 ± 0.95
^{184}Ir	3.09h	cum				6.39 ± 0.88
^{185}Os	93.6d	cum		1.91 ± 0.22	6.72 ± 0.62	9.43 ± 0.90
^{183}Os	13h	cum		3.08 ± 0.29	3.41 ± 0.33	5.55 ± 0.57
^{183}Os	9.9h	cum			2.82 ± 0.34	4.64 ± 0.46
^{181}Re	19.9h	cum			4.03 ± 0.70	10.5 ± 1.9
^{176}Ta	8.09h	cum			3.11 ± 0.72	8.79 ± 1.07
^{171}Lu	8.24d	cum			2.30 ± 0.26	6.77 ± 0.62
^{169}Lu	34.06h	cum				4.34 ± 0.41
^{166}Yb	56.7h	cum				3.51 ± 0.40
^{160}Er	28.58h	cum				4.69 ± 0.41
^{155}Dy	9.9h	cum		1.03 ± 0.13	1.97 ± 0.20	4.02 ± 0.38
^{151}Pm	28.4h	cum	4.57 ± 0.56			
^{147}Nd	10.98d	cum				4.19 ± 0.58
^{146}Pr	24.15m	cum	16.0 ± 2.6	9.8 ± 1.7	10.7 ± 1.6	
^{143}Ce	33.1h	cum	28.7 ± 3.1	12.3 ± 1.0	10.5 ± 0.9	9.64 ± 0.85
^{141}Ce	32.5d	cum	35.1 ± 3.9	19.1 ± 1.7	16.9 ± 1.4	15.3 ± 1.4

Continuation of Table 3.

Product	Half life	Mode	Proton energy (GeV)			
			0.1	0.8	1.2	1.6
¹³⁹ Ce	137.64d	cum		8.51 ± 0.73	8.30 ± 0.71	7.75 ± 0.72
¹³² Ce	3.51h	cum			1.06 ± 0.42	1.00 ± 0.69
¹⁴² La	1.518h	cum	26.7 ± 2.9	10.70 ± 0.92	11.8 ± 1.0	8.63 ± 0.83
¹⁴⁰ La	40.274h	cum	35.4 ± 3.7	17.3 ± 1.4	14.5 ± 1.3	13.1 ± 1.3
¹⁴⁰ La	40.274h	ind	7.73 ± 0.84	3.22 ± 0.28	2.23 ± 0.18	1.76 ± 0.25
¹³² La	4.8h	cum		1.70 ± 0.83	2.72 ± 0.45	2.91 ± 0.60
¹³² La	4.8h	ind			1.66 ± 0.73	
¹⁴¹ Ba	18.27m	cum	22.5 ± 4.2			
¹⁴⁰ Ba	12.752d	cum	27.5 ± 2.9	14.1 ± 1.2	12.3 ± 1.1	11.4 ± 1.0
¹³⁹ Ba	83.06m	cum	43.9 ± 8.8	18.8 ± 3.5	17.5 ± 3.3	16.6 ± 3.3
¹³³ Ba	38.9h	ind			3.74 ± 0.44	2.56 ± 0.59
¹³¹ Ba	11.5d	cum		4.13 ± 0.35	5.02 ± 0.45	4.95 ± 0.69
¹²⁸ Ba	58.32h	cum		8.84 ± 1.08	3.26 ± 0.60	2.80 ± 0.55
¹³⁸ Cs	33.41m	cum	27.5 ± 3.1	12.9 ± 1.2	12.0 ± 1.1	10.9 ± 1.3
¹³⁶ Cs	13.16d	m+g	15.0 ± 1.6	5.55 ± 0.45	4.19 ± 0.54	3.59 ± 0.31
¹³⁵ Cs	53m	ind	15.5 ± 1.7			
¹³² Cs	6.479d	ind			12.4 ± 1.0	13.4 ± 1.2
¹²⁹ Cs	32.06h	cum		10.39 ± 0.93	11.2 ± 1.6	11.3 ± 1.2
¹³⁵ Xe	9.14h	cum	43.7 ± 4.7	19.7 ± 1.7	17.1 ± 1.4	16.0 ± 1.4
¹³⁵ Xe	9.14h	m+g	26.0 ± 3.0	10.46 ± 1.13	4.79 ± 0.77	8.31 ± 0.93
¹³⁵ Xe	15.29m	cum	14.6 ± 2.3			
¹³³ Xe	52.56h	cum	16.5 ± 1.8			
¹²⁷ Xe	36.4d	cum		11.5 ± 1.1	12.3 ± 1.0	11.4 ± 1.0
¹²⁵ Xe	16.9h	cum			8.30 ± 0.69	8.16 ± 0.76
¹³⁵ I	6.57h	cum	17.6 ± 2.1	9.20 ± 0.79	8.01 ± 0.68	7.66 ± 0.73
¹³⁴ I	52.5m	cum	34.0 ± 3.9			
¹³³ I	20.8h	cum	37.6 ± 4.2	17.1 ± 1.5	15.4 ± 1.3	14.7 ± 1.4
¹³¹ I	8.021d	cum	51.5 ± 5.7	21.0 ± 1.7	18.2 ± 1.5	16.4 ± 1.4
¹³⁰ I	12.36h	cum	17.0 ± 1.8	8.44 ± 0.69	6.41 ± 0.51	4.99 ± 0.50
¹²⁶ I	13.11d	ind		9.66 ± 1.19	7.56 ± 0.98	6.63 ± 0.91
¹²⁴ I	4.18d	ind		7.93 ± 0.85	8.18 ± 0.66	6.07 ± 0.55
¹³² Te	76.896h	cum	20.9 ± 2.6	11.6 ± 1.1	11.0 ± 1.0	10.71 ± 1.07
¹³¹ Te	25m	cum	26.7 ± 3.1	9.92 ± 1.45	9.41 ± 1.29	10.07 ± 1.13
¹³¹ Te	30h	cum	11.1 ± 1.4	4.27 ± 0.55	3.22 ± 0.55	2.61 ± 0.37
¹¹⁹ Te	4.7d	ind		2.41 ± 0.20	3.61 ± 0.29	3.31 ± 0.33
¹¹⁹ Te	16.03h	cum		0.59 ± 0.10	1.89 ± 0.16	2.38 ± 0.23
¹³⁰ Sb	39.5m	cum	5.66 ± 0.72			
¹²⁹ Sb	4.4h	cum	6.54 ± 0.90			
¹²⁸ Sb	9.01h	cum	11.1 ± 1.2	4.95 ± 0.63	4.02 ± 0.74	3.28 ± 0.38
¹²⁷ Sb	3.85d	cum	29.8 ± 3.3	11.7 ± 1.0	9.48 ± 0.84	8.37 ± 0.79
¹²⁶ Sb	12.46d	cum	17.8 ± 1.9	7.68 ± 0.61	5.99 ± 0.47	4.98 ± 0.42
¹²⁴ Sb	60.2d	cum	16.6 ± 1.8	12.6 ± 1.1	9.57 ± 1.12	7.52 ± 0.65
¹²² Sb	65.371h	m+g	6.15 ± 0.66	14.1 ± 1.2	11.3 ± 0.9	9.29 ± 0.81
¹²⁰ Sb	5.76d	ind		9.16 ± 0.73	8.33 ± 0.65	6.72 ± 0.57
¹¹⁸ Sb	5h	ind		5.09 ± 0.45	5.96 ± 0.52	5.40 ± 0.50
¹²⁸ Sn	59.1m	cum	5.75 ± 1.06			
¹²⁵ Sn	9.64d	cum	9.60 ± 3.14			
¹²³ Sn	40.06m	cum	20.7 ± 2.3	5.35 ± 0.57	4.91 ± 0.60	4.82 ± 0.57
¹¹⁷ In	43.2m	cum			30.7 ± 4.0	26.7 ± 3.6
¹¹⁴ In	49.51d	cum		10.6 ± 1.0	10.8 ± 1.0	8.15 ± 1.03
¹¹¹ In	67.318h	cum		3.36 ± 0.34	5.91 ± 0.70	6.67 ± 0.62

Continuation of Table 3.

Product	Half life	Mode	Proton enegy (GeV)			
			0.1	0.8	1.2	1.6
¹¹⁷ Cd	2.490h	cum	15.9 ± 2.2	5.34 ± 2.13	5.37 ± 0.59	4.24 ± 0.65
¹¹⁷ Cd	3.360h	cum	18.1 ± 2.3	12.6 ± 1.1	10.0 ± 0.9	8.09 ± 1.05
¹¹⁵ Cd	53.460h	cum	54.3 ± 7.8	34.7 ± 2.7	27.4 ± 2.2	21.8 ± 2.2
¹¹⁵ Cd	53.46h	ind	29.9 ± 10.3			
¹¹¹ Cd	48.6m	ind			9.75 ± 1.12	8.49 ± 1.03
¹¹⁵ Ag	20m	cum	24.5 ± 8.6			
¹¹³ Ag	5.37h	cum	70.6 ± 7.7	53.5 ± 4.7	49.6 ± 4.2	38.4 ± 4.1
¹¹² Ag	3.13h	cum	70.7 ± 11.2	70.2 ± 10.0	56.5 ± 8.0	46.9 ± 6.8
¹¹² Ag	3.13h	ind	7.56 ± 1.52	26.4 ± 3.8	20.1 ± 2.9	14.8 ± 2.3
¹¹¹ Ag	7.45d	cum	62.5 ± 7.8			
¹¹¹ Ag	7.45d	ind	51.3 ± 7.1			
¹¹⁰ Ag	249.79d	ind		12.2 ± 1.0	11.8 ± 1.0	9.21 ± 0.91
¹⁰⁶ Ag	8.28d	ind		1.68 ± 0.18	2.93 ± 0.31	3.14 ± 0.42
¹⁰⁵ Ag	41.29d	cum			1.63 ± 0.43	4.53 ± 0.63
¹¹² Pd	21.03h	cum	58.5 ± 7.6	43.9 ± 6.2	36.5 ± 5.2	32.1 ± 4.7
¹¹¹ Pd	5.5h	cum	11.2 ± 1.8	11.4 ± 1.7		
¹⁰⁷ Rh	21.7m	cum	77.3 ± 11.0			
¹⁰⁶ Rh	2.183h	ind		13.1 ± 1.4	14.0 ± 2.0	11.8 ± 1.1
¹⁰⁵ Rh	35.36h	cum	76.1 ± 8.4	75.2 ± 6.7	68.1 ± 7.2	55.3 ± 7.8
¹⁰⁵ Rh	35.36h	ind	15.3 ± 3.4			
¹⁰¹ Rh	4.34d	ind		1.72 ± 0.22	3.58 ± 0.42	4.26 ± 0.52
¹⁰⁰ Rh	20.8h	cum		0.26 ± 0.15	1.86 ± 0.44	2.48 ± 0.64
¹⁰⁵ Ru	4.44h	cum	60.8 ± 6.4	51.4 ± 5.1	42.8 ± 3.8	35.6 ± 3.4
¹⁰³ Ru	39.26d	cum	70.7 ± 7.6	73.0 ± 6.3	65.6 ± 5.1	55.5 ± 5.0
¹⁰⁴ Tc	18.4m	cum	63.1 ± 8.8	39.9 ± 3.8	35.1 ± 3.1	35.3 ± 5.3
¹⁰¹ Tc	14.2m	cum	78.3 ± 12.7	80.5 ± 18.4	88.7 ± 17.1	
¹⁰¹ Tc	14.2m	ind		43.3 ± 22.7	59.6 ± 19.9	
⁹⁹ Tc	6.01h	cum	66.6 ± 7.3	62.8 ± 5.4	57.3 ± 4.8	50.7 ± 4.5
⁹⁹ Tc	6.01h	ind	0.00 ± 0.12	1.72 ± 0.22	2.90 ± 0.30	3.82 ± 0.45
⁹⁶ Tc	4.28d	m+g	0.69 ± 0.19		3.96 ± 0.54	5.12 ± 1.01
⁹⁵ Tc	20.0h	ind		0.67 ± 0.11	1.89 ± 0.16	2.91 ± 0.27
¹⁰¹ Mo	14.61m	cum	66.1 ± 8.0	37.1 ± 7.4	29.1 ± 5.5	
⁹⁹ Mo	65.94h	cum	66.3 ± 7.2	70.0 ± 5.7	58.7 ± 4.9	49.3 ± 5.1
⁹³ Mo	6.85h	ind			1.17 ± 0.12	1.68 ± 0.16
⁹⁸ Nb	51.3m	ind	9.15 ± 1.17	13.8 ± 1.3	8.9 ± 0.8	11.4 ± 1.3
⁹⁶ Nb	23.35h	ind	1.84 ± 0.21	16.9 ± 1.4	17.9 ± 1.6	16.1 ± 1.4
⁹⁵ Nb	34.975d	cum		74.2 ± 6.1	67.5 ± 5.3	61.5 ± 5.3
⁹⁵ Nb	34.975d	ind		23.7 ± 3.3	66.2 ± 5.3	17.0 ± 1.5
⁹⁰ Nb	14.6h	cum			1.22 ± 0.12	1.63 ± 0.33
⁹⁷ Zr	16.91h	cum	57.9 ± 6.1	35.4 ± 2.8	31.2 ± 2.5	28.1 ± 3.1
⁹⁵ Zr	64.02d	cum	59.8 ± 6.8	50.5 ± 4.2	43.9 ± 3.5	40.0 ± 3.9
⁸⁹ Zr	78.41h	cum		2.71 ± 0.22	5.33 ± 0.42	6.61 ± 0.57
⁹⁴ Y	18.7m	ind	47.8 ± 6.1	27.0 ± 3.2	22.8 ± 3.2	22.4 ± 2.8
⁹³ Y	10.18h	cum	42.5 ± 7.9	31.7 ± 6.4	38.1 ± 7.9	20.1 ± 4.6
⁹² Y	3.54h	cum	48.1 ± 7.8	42.2 ± 6.0	35.5 ± 5.5	29.0 ± 4.9
⁹² Y	3.54h	ind	13.1 ± 4.4	10.9 ± 2.3		
⁹¹ Y	49.71m	cum	25.2 ± 3.1	34.4 ± 2.9	33.9 ± 2.9	30.9 ± 3.1
⁹¹ Y	49.71m	ind		13.1 ± 1.3	15.9 ± 1.6	14.9 ± 2.0
⁹⁰ Y	3.19h	ind		12.0 ± 1.1	14.1 ± 1.5	12.5 ± 1.1
⁸⁸ Y	106.65d	cum		6.38 ± 0.68	10.9 ± 0.9	11.4 ± 1.0
⁸⁷ Y	13.37h	cum		3.60 ± 0.32	5.65 ± 0.46	8.88 ± 0.93
⁸⁷ Y	79.8h	cum		4.35 ± 0.37	8.29 ± 0.68	9.63 ± 0.84
⁸⁶ Y	14.74h	cum		0.73 ± 0.09	2.07 ± 0.23	2.65 ± 0.45

Continuation of Table 3.

Product	Half life	Mode	Proton enegy (GeV)			
			0.1	0.8	1.2	1.6
⁹² Sr	2.71h	cum	37.3 ± 4.5	27.8 ± 2.8	23.3 ± 1.8	19.8 ± 2.1
⁹¹ Sr	9.63h	cum	46.0 ± 4.9	33.9 ± 3.2	28.5 ± 3.7	24.4 ± 3.4
⁸⁹ Rb	15.15m	cum	34.9 ± 5.2	20.5 ± 2.8	19.8 ± 2.9	18.0 ± 3.2
⁸⁶ Rb	18.631d	m+g		12.2 ± 1.1	15.3 ± 1.3	13.2 ± 1.3
⁸³ Rb	86.2d	cum		3.54 ± 0.37	7.45 ± 0.69	9.28 ± 0.91
⁸⁸ Kr	2.84h	cum	19.9 ± 2.2	11.5 ± 1.1	10.1 ± 1.0	8.04 ± 0.78
⁸⁷ Kr	76.3m	cum	27.2 ± 3.7	18.3 ± 2.1	14.9 ± 1.7	12.0 ± 1.5
⁸⁵ Kr	4.48h	cum	18.3 ± 2.3	13.0 ± 1.8	11.9 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 1.3
⁸⁴ Br	31.8m	cum	12.7 ± 3.0			
⁸² Br	35.3h	m+g		10.7 ± 0.9	11.4 ± 0.9	10.3 ± 0.9
⁷⁷ Br	57.036h	cum		0.49 ± 0.18	0.76 ± 0.17	1.42 ± 0.38
⁷⁶ Br	16.2h	cum		0.33 ± 0.95	0.82 ± 0.43	
⁸³ Se	22.3m	cum	6.57 ± 1.15			
⁷⁵ Se	119.77d	cum			2.34 ± 0.20	2.92 ± 0.32
⁷⁸ As	1.512h	cum		10.23 ± 1.45	9.00 ± 1.28	6.25 ± 0.95
⁷⁶ As	25.867h	ind		4.92 ± 1.03	6.27 ± 0.79	7.52 ± 0.86
⁷⁴ As	17.77d	ind		2.11 ± 0.24	4.53 ± 0.49	5.33 ± 0.63
⁷⁸ Ge	88m	cum	1.96 ± 0.60			
⁷⁷ Ge	11.3h	cum	1.14 ± 0.16	4.17 ± 0.40		
⁷³ Ga	4.86h	cum	1.28 ± 0.19			
⁷² Zn	46.5h	cum	1.10 ± 0.29	2.74 ± 0.25	2.71 ± 0.25	2.38 ± 0.23
⁷¹ Zn	3.96h	cum		2.59 ± 0.31	3.51 ± 0.34	2.80 ± 0.32
⁶⁹ Zn	13.76h	ind		2.72 ± 0.24	4.30 ± 0.36	3.92 ± 0.37
⁵⁸ Co	70.916d	m+g			0.45 ± 0.06	0.94 ± 0.09
⁵⁹ Fe	44.503d	cum		2.85 ± 0.85	3.21 ± 0.37	3.60 ± 0.48
⁴⁸ Sc	43.67h	cum		0.45 ± 0.05	1.05 ± 0.17	1.56 ± 0.22
⁴⁶ Sc	83.81d	m+g				1.06 ± 0.11
²⁸ Mg	20.91h	cum			0.33 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.07
²⁴ Na	14.959h	cum			0.97 ± 0.09	2.06 ± 0.18

where

$$a = \left\langle \left(\left| \lg \left(\frac{\sigma_{cal,i}}{\sigma_{exp,i}} \right) \right| - \lg(\langle H \rangle) \right)^2 \right\rangle,$$

where $\langle \rangle$ designates averaging over all of the comparison events ($i = 1 \dots N_S$, where N_S is the number of experimental and simulated events used for comparison).

The mean squared deviation factor $\langle H \rangle$ with its standard deviation $S(\langle H \rangle)$ define the interval $[\langle H \rangle / S(\langle H \rangle), \langle H \rangle \times S(\langle H \rangle)]$ which covers about two thirds of all the simulation-to-experiment ratios.

Table 4 presents information concerning the predictive power of each of the codes for high and low energies, namely, the total number of measured yields N_E , the number of the latter that was chosen to be used in the comparison with simulated data N_G , the total number of simulated products that can be compared with the data N_S , the two numbers of “coincidences” between simulated and experimental values $N_{C_{1,3}}, N_{C_{2,0}}$, and the mean deviation $\langle H \rangle$ with its $S(\langle H \rangle)$ of simulation results from experimental data.

IV. CONCLUSION

This study is the first step in our work on the fissionable targets that are of interest for accelerator-driven facilities. In total, about 820 reaction data have been measured. Table 4 reflects the predictive power of the simulation codes used here.

It should be noted that the CEM95, HETC, and CASCADE codes do not calculate the process of fission itself, and do not provide fission fragments and a further possible evaporation of particles from them. When, during the Monte Carlo simulation of a compound stage of a reaction using evaporation and fission widths, these codes have to simulate a fission, they simply remember this event (that permits them to calculate fission cross section and fissility) and finish the calculation of this event without a real subsequent calculation of fission fragments and a further possible evaporation of particles from them. Therefore, the results from CEM95, HETC, and CASCADE shown in Tab. 4 reflect the contribution to the total yields of the nuclides only from deep spallation processes of successive emission of particles from the target, but do not contain fission products.

Table 4: Statistics of simulation-to-experiment comparisons of the yields of the measured reaction products for ^{99}Tc and ^{nat}U .

Code	^{99}Tc					
	$E_p = 0.2 \text{ GeV}$			$E_p = 0.8, 1.0, 1.4, \text{ and } 1.6 \text{ GeV}$		
	$N_E = 39, N_G = 25$			$N_E = 284, N_G = 200$		
	$N_{1.3}/N_{2.0}/N_S$	$\langle H \rangle$	$S(\langle H \rangle)$	$N_{1.3}/N_{2.0}/N_S$	$\langle H \rangle$	$S(\langle H \rangle)$
CEM95	8/14/25	3.84	2.86	82/143/195	2.08	1.74
LAHET(BERTINI)	8/18/25	1.84	1.48	77/155/196	1.80	1.51
LAHET(ISABEL)	9/20/25	1.83	1.50	53/83/97 ¹	1.75	1.57
INUCL	6/9/25	2.83	1.86	42/101/194	2.73	1.93
HETC	5/12/22	3.62	2.58	52/99/188	4.04	2.96
CASCADE	7/15/25	2.82	2.00	58/111/195	2.59	1.92
ALICE(Fermi)	6/18/25	2.16	1.69	—	—	—
ALICE(Kataria)	8/11/25	2.43	1.75	—	—	—
YIELDX	9/19/25	2.13	1.71	75/154/197	2.13	1.78
Foshina et al.	3/4/25	5.48	2.16	34/76/198	2.99	1.84

Code	^{nat}U					
	$E_p = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$			$E_p = 0.8, 1.2, \text{ and } 1.6 \text{ GeV}$		
	$N_E = 83, N_G = 63$			$N_E = 418, N_G = 328$		
	$N_{1.3}/N_{2.0}/N_S$	$\langle H \rangle$	$S(\langle H \rangle)$	$N_{1.3}/N_{2.0}/N_S$	$\langle H \rangle$	$S(\langle H \rangle)$
CEM95	0/2/2	1.62	1.02	16/42/78	2.89	2.11
LAHET(BERTINI)	15/47/63	2.29	1.82	76/186/326	2.25	1.62
LAHET(ISABEL)	19/45/63	2.32	1.88	26/66/98 ²	1.99	1.57
INUCL	10/16/61	7.25	3.68	49/141/296	4.48	3.00
HETC	2/2/3	2.45	1.99	9/15/61	10.5	4.3
CASCADE	0/1/3	18.1	6.0	10/16/25 ²	3.13	2.45

¹ - only 0.8 and 1.0 GeV simulated.

² - only 0.8 GeV simulated.

To be able to describe nuclide production in the fission region, these codes have to be extended by incorporating a model of high energy fission.

At present, analyzing our theoretical results we come to the conclusion that the differences among some theoretical yields predicted by different codes can sometimes be very significant. This is a strong indication that all of the codes have to be further improved before they can become reliable predictive tools. Therefore, the relevant experiments have to be extended.

We continue our measurements and expect, for instance, to have in the following year new data for ^{nat}U thin target irradiated by 0.2 GeV protons, ^{99}Tc irradiated by 0.1 and 1.2 GeV protons, and ^{232}Th irradiated by 0.1, 0.2, 0.8, 1.2, and 1.6 GeV protons.

The Cascade-Exciton Model (CEM) of nuclear reactions is under development at present at LANL and a recent version of the code named CEM97 with a much better predictive power than of the code CEM95

has been already realized¹⁶. We began to develop a fission model appropriate for the CEM to describe mass, charge, energy and angular distributions of fission fragments with a possible further evaporation of particle from fragments (or even sequential fission)¹⁷ and we plan to incorporate this model into a newer version of the CEM code, CEM99. The U-data of the present work and from future planned measurements will be used together with other available data to fix the parameters of CEM99.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was performed under the ISTC Project # 839 and was partially supported by the U. S. Department of Energy.

We thank C. H. Tsao for providing us with his latest version of the YIELDX code and F. E. Chukreev, V. A. Vukolov, N. V. Stepanov, and M. B. Chadwick for useful co-operation in the work.

REFERENCES

1. G. S. Bauer, "Research and Development for Molten Heavy Metal Targets", *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on Accelerator-Driven Transmutation Technologies and Applications* Kalmar, Sweden, June 3-7, 1996, ed. H. Condé (Uppsala University Press, 1997), Vol. 2, pp. 803-814.
2. A. J. Koning, "Review of High Energy Data and Model Codes for Accelerator-Based Transmutation," ECN-C-93-005, Petten (1993).
3. Yu. E. Titarenko, O. V. Shvedov, V. F. Batyaev, E. I. Karpikhin, V. M. Zhivun, R. D. Mulambetov, A. N. Sosnin, S. G. Mashnik, R. E. Prael, M. B. Chadwick, T. A. Gabriel, and M. Blann "Experimental and Theoretical Study of the Yields of Radioactive Product Nuclei in 99-Tc Thin Targets Irradiated by 100-2600 MeV Protons", *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Accelerator Driven Transmutation Technologies and Applications*, Praha (Pruhonice), June 7-11, 1999, Czech Republic.
4. Yu. E. Titarenko, O. V. Shvedov, V. F. Batyaev, E. I. Karpikhin, V. M. Zhivun, A. B. Koldobsky, R. D. Mulambetov, A. N. Sosnin, Yu. N. Shubin, A. V. Ignatyuk, V. P. Lunev, S. G. Mashnik, R. E. Prael, T. A. Gabriel, and M. Blann "Experimental and Theoretical Study of the Yields of Radionuclides Produced in nat-U Thin Targets Irradiated by 100 and 800 MeV Protons", *ibid.*
5. Yu. E. Titarenko, O. V. Shvedov, M. M. Igumnov, S. G. Mashnik, E. I. Karpikhin, V. D. Kazaritsky, V. F. Batyaev, A. B. Koldobsky, V. M. Zhivun, A. N. Sosnin, R. E. Prael, M. B. Chadwick, T. A. Gabriel, and M. Blann, Experimental and Computer Simulation Study of the Radionuclides Produced in Thin ^{209}Bi Targets by 130 MeV and 1.5 GeV Proton-Induced Reactions. *Nucl. Instr. Meth.*, **A414** (1998) 73.
6. K. K. Gudima, S. G. Mashnik, and V. D. Toneev, *Nucl. Phys.*, **A401**, (1983) 329; S.G. Mashnik, *Bull. Russian Ac. Sci.: Physics*, **60**, (1996) 58; S. G. Mashnik, A. J. Sierk, O. Bersillon, and T. Gabriel, LANL Reports LA-UR-97-2905, Los Alamos (1997) and *Nucl. Instr. Meth.*, **A414** (1998) 68.
7. V. S. Barashenkov et al., *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, **39** (1984) 715; *Nucl. Phys.*, **A338** (1980) 413; JINR Report R2-85-173, Dubna (1985).
8. N. V. Stepanov, ITEP Preprints ITEP-91 (1983), ITEP-129 (1985), ITEP-81 (1987), ITEP-55-88, Moscow (1988) [in Russian].
9. T. W. Armstrong and K. C. Chandler, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **49** (1972) 110.
10. R. E. Prael and H. Lichtenstein, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-UR-89-3014 (1989).
11. M. Blann, "New Precompound Decay Model", *Phys. Rev.*, **C54** (1996) 1341.
12. C. H. Tsao, priv. comm.; R. Silberberg and C. H. Tsao, *Astrophys. J.*, **220** (1973) 315; *ibid* p. 335.
13. M. Foshina et al., *Radiochimica Acta*, **35** (1984) 121.
14. R. Michel and P. Nagel, *International Codes and Model Intercomparison for Intermediate Energy Activation Yields*, NEA/OECD, NSC/DOC(97)-1, Paris (1997).
15. Yu.E. Titarenko et al., "Experimental and Computer Simulation Study of Radionuclide Formation in the ADT Materials Irradiated with Intermediate Energy Protons", *Proc. 2nd Int. Topical Meeting on Nuclear Applications of Accelerator Technology*, September 20-23, 1998, Gatlinburg, Tennessee.
16. S. G. Mashnik and A. J. Sierk, "Improved Cascade-Exciton Model of Nuclear Reactions," *Proc. Fourth Workshop on Simulating Accelerator Radiation Environments (SARE4)*, Knoxville, TN, USA, September 14-16, 1998, pp. 29-51 and LANL Report LA-UR-98-5999, Los Alamos (1998); H. G. Hughes, K. J. Adams, M. B. Chadwick, J. C. Comly, L. J. Cox, H. W. Egdorf, S. C. Frankle, J. S. Hendricks, R. C. Little, R. MacFarlane, S. G. Mashnik, R. E. Prael, A. J. Sierk, L. S. Waters, M. C. White, P. G. Young, F. Gallmeier, and E. C. Snow, "MCNPX for Neutron-Proton Transport," LANL Report LA-UR-99-1935, Los Alamos (1999), submitted to *M&C'99*, September 27-30, 1999, Madrid, Spain.
17. A. J. Sierk and S. G. Mashnik, "Modeling Fission in the Cascade-Exciton Model," *Proc. Fourth Workshop on Simulating Accelerator Radiation Environments (SARE4)*, Knoxville, TN, USA, September 14-16, 1998, pp. 53-67 and LANL Report LA-UR-98-5998, Los Alamos (1998).